

Making and Breaking Governments: Recent Developments in Coalition Research




Counted questionnaires = 6

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
 av.=Mean
 md=Median
 dev.=Std. Dev.
 ab.=Abstention


2. Personal details

2.1) What faculty are you studying in?




n=6
 av.=1
 dev.=0

2.2) What level are you studying at?



n=6
 av.=1,8
 dev.=0,4


2.3) What semester are you in?
 (Bachelor and Master's degree added together)



n=6
 av.=7,3
 dev.=3


3. General conditions

3.1) The information in the course directory is sufficient.




n=5
 av.=1
 md=1
 dev.=0
 ab.=1

3.2) The organisation of the seminar/course (incl. announcements) is good.




n=6
 av.=1
 md=1
 dev.=0

3.3) The infrastructure for the seminar/course (i.e. room, technology) is good.




n=6
 av.=1,3
 md=1
 dev.=0,5

3.4) The number of students in the seminar/course is too high.




n=6
 av.=1,7
 md=1
 dev.=1


4. I...




5. I...




6. I find that Dr. Maria Thürk...







7. Workload




8. Learning outcomes and overall evaluation




8.5) ...critically discuss the learning content.




8.6) I learnt a lot in the seminar/course.



8.7) The seminar/course awakened/increased my interest in the topic.



8.8) I would recommend the seminar/course to other students.



Profile

Subunit: Philosophisch-Historische Fakultät

Name of the instructor: Dr. Maria Thürk

Name of the course: Making and Breaking Governments: Recent Developments in Coalition Research
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

3. General conditions

3.1) The information in the course directory is sufficient.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=5	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
3.2) The organisation of the seminar/course (incl. announcements) is good.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
3.3) The infrastructure for the seminar/course (i.e. room, technology) is good.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
3.4) The number of students in the seminar/course is too high.	totally disagree		completely agree	n=6	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=1,0

4. I...

4.1) ...deal with the learning content in an intensive way (extra reading, discussions outside of the seminar/course, etc.).	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=2,2	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
4.2) ...actively take part in the lesson (questions, verbal contributions).	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=2,3	md=2,5	dev.=0,8
4.3) ...find that my classmates are committed to the class.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,8	md=1,5	dev.=1,0
4.4) ...feel that the class suits my own study interests.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,8

5. I...

5.1) ...have missed the following number of sessions:	0		>8	n=6	av.=1,7	md=2,0	dev.=0,5
5.2) ...estimate that my weekly preparation for class amounts to:	0h		>6h	n=6	av.=3,8	md=4,0	dev.=0,8

6. I find that Dr. Maria Thürk...

6.1) ...structures the seminar/course well.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
6.2) ...clearly formulates the learning objectives of the seminar/course.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
6.3) ...clearly states the requirements for earning credit points.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
6.4) ...makes the seminar/course interesting.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
6.5) ...promotes a comfortable class atmosphere.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
6.6) ...responds to requests and questions from students adequately.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
6.7) ...sufficiently supports class discussions.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4

6.8) ...gives good advice when I undertake work independently.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,8
6.9) ...supervises me well (good office hours, timely feedback...).	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
6.10) ...intervenes well during presentations/ discussions (if necessary).	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
6.11) ...gives helpful feedback to presentations/ written submissions.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=5	av.=1,6	md=2,0	dev.=0,5

7. Workload

7.1) The proportion of student achievement (presentation, inputs, etc.) in the seminar/ course compared to the contribution of the	too low		too high	n=6	av.=3,2	md=3,0	dev.=0,4
7.2) The workload considering the amount of credit points awarded is:	too low		too high	n=6	av.=3,0	md=3,0	dev.=0,6

8. Learning outcomes and overall evaluation

8.1) The methodical and teaching structure of the seminar/course is orientated towards the learning objectives.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
8.2) The seminar/course encouraged me to work on a topic independently.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
8.3) ...compile information on a topic and present it.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,7	md=1,5	dev.=0,8
8.4) ...discuss and argue points.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
8.5) ...critically discuss the learning content.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
8.6) I learnt a lot in the seminar/course.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
8.7) The seminar/course awakened/increased my interest in the topic.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
8.8) I would recommend the seminar/course to other students.	completely agree		totally disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0

Comments Report

3. General conditions

^{3.5)} Additional or explanatory feedback on general class conditions:

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

5. I...

^{5.3)} Additional or explanatory feedback on self evaluation:

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

6. I find that Dr. Maria Thürk...

^{6.12)} Additional or explanatory feedback on lecturer evaluation:

- About the last point: would have been useful to know what we could improve in our presentation, what our weak points are.
- it is a very nice atmosphere and I enjoyed coming here. also, it was nice to always have recent events incorporated in the sessions.

8. Learning outcomes and overall evaluation

^{8.9)} Additional or explanatory feedback on learning outcomes/overall evaluation:

- I am not sure what the learning effect of writing a research design will be (i do however understand, that you have a necessity for grading us).

9. Comments on the seminar/course

^{9.1)} What I found particularly good about the seminar/course was:

- Die Struktur. Jeder neue Artikel wiederholt den vorherigen.
- Especially nice is the motivation and honest interest, the lecturer brings into class.
- Left a lot of space for student presentations and interaction, which is good.
- The seminar was extremely interesting to me. I learnt a lot of new things and it now allows me to understand political mechanisms and dynamics that I was not aware of before. I am very happy with the course, I think it was one of my favourites this semester and I would recommend it to others.
- additional explanations on the white board and and further discussion together and the presentations of Maria Thürk
- the readings/content are very up to date, the class' structure made sense

^{9.2)} I feel that the seminar/course could be improved upon and/or I have the following suggestions:

- I do not like group work in smaller groups, discussing with the whole group from the start makes more sense than splitting up into smaller groups, I think
- It could be seen as a caveat that only seven people signed up, but I don't see how the lecturer could change anything about that. I did however very much appreciate the small class.
- to have a deeper look in to the data sets

^{9.3)} Lecturers have the opportunity to ask their own questions in a plenary session (optional). Here you can give your answer:

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.